

**Clinical Practice Guideline: Obesity/Overweight**

**Date of Implementation: June 19, 2014**

**Product: Specialty**

---

## **GUIDELINES**

Among portal of entry practitioners, screening for obesity and overweight using Body Mass Index (BMI) is considered best practices. Providing a direct intervention (e.g., lifestyle and/or dietary changes) for adult patients identified as having obesity or overweight, will depend upon the practitioner's education, training, experience, and scope of practice. In the absence of such a direct intervention, providing a referral intervention (e.g., to the patient's medical physician) is considered necessary. Measurements such as BMI may be outside the education, training, experience or scope of some practitioner types. In the context of best practices, for these practitioners, a level of awareness of risk factors and/or signs/symptoms that overweight/obesity is present and a subsequent referral for appropriate evaluation is necessary and within the purview of all.

## **PROCESS AND DEFINITIONS**

When developing, reviewing, and approving clinical policy, American Specialty Health – Specialty (ASH) peer-review committees consider the following:

- Patient Population – Persons presenting with a primary complaint who have been properly evaluated. For each procedure considered, this patient population may be further defined by age, gender, or clinical status.
- Opportunity for evaluation – Given the defined population and within the context of portal-of-entry practitioners, the degree to which accurate and actionable information can be practically obtained regarding the modifiable risk factor.
- Opportunity for intervention – Given the defined population and (i) within the context of portal-of-entry practitioners and (ii) given an appropriate evaluation of the modifiable risk factor, the degree to which the modifiable risk factor can be effectively improved, either directly and/or by referral to an appropriate resource.
- Potential Impact – Assuming appropriate evaluation and intervention, the degree to which improvement in the modifiable risk factor can improve health. This potential impact will be considered in three different clinical contexts:
  - Its impact on a presenting complaint.
  - Its impact on a specific chronic condition (e.g., diabetes).

- Its impact on general health and prevention. This includes prevention of health conditions and improvement or maintenance of functional capacity and quality of life.

Based upon the degree of potential impact, recommendations for best practice will be divided into one of the following categories:

- Necessary (should be done);
- Recommended (should be considered by the practitioner) and most likely performed unless there is a contraindication;
- Discretionary (up to the practitioner to determine);
- Unnecessary (not recommended); or
- Contraindicated (should not be done).

## INTRODUCTION

The impact of obesity and overweight on health is significant. Obesity is associated with health problems such as increased risk for coronary heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, various types of cancer (e.g., liver, kidney, breast, endometrial, prostate, and colon), gallstones, and disability. Obesity is also associated with an increased risk for death, particularly among adults younger than 65 years. The leading causes of death among adults with obesity include ischemic heart disease, type 2 diabetes, respiratory diseases, and cancer.

Risk for a number of chronic conditions increases as BMI increases above 25, including coronary heart disease (CHD), hypertension, stroke, Type 2 diabetes, and cancer of the colon, kidney, gallbladder, breast, and endometrium. Obesity and overweight also increase the likelihood of developing other conditions such as gall bladder disease, sleep apnea, and osteoarthritis of weight-bearing joints. Obesity also adversely affects general quality of life, impairing mobility that may lead to limited functional and social activities thus bringing with it social stigmatization. Among individuals  $\geq 65$  years however, a slightly higher BMI (between 25 and 27) may reduce mortality. For example, a slightly higher BMI in a person 65 years or older may help protect against osteoporosis (Winter et al., 2014). Further, obesity and overweight among children is being shown to produce similar manifestations as adults on the child's physical and psychological health including quality of life (Cuda and Censani, 2018).

## ASSESSING OBESITY

As appropriate to a practitioner's education, training, experience, and scope of practice, there are various techniques in use to measure obesity, including body mass index (BMI), bioelectrical impedance, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), and total body water immersion. BMI represents a calculation of body weight adjusted for height (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared). (USPSTF, 2018). Risk for adverse health

effects increases linearly with BMI. However, increased musculature (e.g., with some athletes) increases BMI which negatively impacts the accuracy of this measure.

Consequently, the USPSTF has designated measurement of BMI by the clinician as the appropriate screening method for obesity/overweight. There are numerous online BMI calculators including the National Institutes of Health's at [http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/lose\\_wt/BMI/bmicalc.htm](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/lose_wt/BMI/bmicalc.htm)

- BMI of 25-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> indicates overweight while
- BMI ≥ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> indicates obesity.
  - Obesity is further differentiated into 3 classes:
    - I = BMI 30-34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>;
    - II = BMI 35-39.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; and
    - III = BMI ≥ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Obesity is defined as a body mass index (BMI) at or above the 95th percentile of the CDC gender-specific BMI-for-age growth charts.

Another measure helpful in assessing health risks is central adiposity or waist circumference, which is associated with risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes and other conditions, independent of obesity. Central adiposity is measured by waist circumference (WC); men with a WC > 40 inches (102 cm) and women with a WC > 35 inches (88 cm) are at increased risk for cardiovascular disease. Because BMI does not assess body fat distribution, WC is a useful measure, since central adiposity is an indicator of health risk independent of body fat percentage or BMI. The following table summarizes the relationship of BMI and WC to health risks (USPSTF, 2010). As with BMI, measuring central adiposity may be outside the scope for some practitioners. In such cases, one's clinical impression/awareness that overweight/obesity might be of issue is an appropriate reason for a referral intervention.

Description	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Obesity class	Waist Circumference (WC) and Associated Health Risks	
			WC Men ≤ 40" or Women ≤ 35"	WC Men > 40" or Women > 35"
Underweight	<18.5			
Normal	18.5- 24.9			
Overweight	25-29.9		Increased risk	High risk
Obese	30-34.9	I	High risk	Very high risk
	35-39.9	II	Very high risk	Very high risk

Extremely Obese	40+	III	Extremely high risk	Extremely high risk
-----------------	-----	-----	---------------------	---------------------

Table 1. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Retrieved on April 5, 2022 from [https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/lose\\_wt/BMI/bmi\\_dis.htm](https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/educational/lose_wt/BMI/bmi_dis.htm)

## INTERVENTIONS

*Counseling and Behavioral Interventions.* “Counseling” refers to advice from the clinician to the patient to promote change. “Behavioral interventions” refers to strategies that assist people in acquiring skills, motivations, and support needed to change their health habits. The 5A’s (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, and Arrange) is a framework frequently used in clinical practice to guide behavioral interventions (Alexander, 2011).

Applied to weight management for individuals with obese/overweight.:

- Ask the patient about weight, nutrient and physical activities.
- Advise with clear and impactful recommendations (e.g., related to comorbidities and the serious, personal health consequences of not losing weight).
- Assess the patient’s readiness to change their lifestyle (diet and exercise).
- Assist the patient by providing counseling and/or self-help materials (e.g., websites, organizations, contact information) to help them manage their weight.
- Arrange for follow up with the practitioner or another practitioner specializing in an area to help the patient (e.g., a qualified nutrition professional).

Some behavioral interventions to obesity treatment are based on Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 2004), with the assumption that eating and exercise are learned behaviors and that by modifying them, body weight can be changed. Common behavioral strategies used in behavioral weight loss programs are detailed below

- **Self-monitoring:** Recording behaviors associated with food consumption and physical activity.
- **Stimulus control:** Restricting environmental factors associated with inappropriate behaviors.
- **Contingency management:** Rewarding appropriate behaviors.
- **Changing behavior parameters:** Changing or altering behaviors.
- **Cognitive-behavior modification:** Changing thinking patterns related to target behavior.

The patient’s main health concerns should be addressed as the primary focus. The practitioner may integrate the discussion of weight management issues that may be affecting the patient’s physical or emotional health into the clinical dialogue.

### *Key Steps for Health Practitioners:*

- Identify at-risk individuals and help them understand that modest weight loss (5–10 percent) can lead to clinically important reductions in disease risk factors.

- Encourage individuals to adopt healthy lifestyle behaviors Healthy food choices Regular physical activity, Reduce sedentary activities such as watching television or computer games.
- Monitor and treat potential health effects of overweight or obesity.

The USPTF recommends that health care practitioners counsel adults about physical activity selectively, based on risk factors, rather than incorporate counseling into the care of all patients within the population. These recommendations are based on the health benefits of physical activity, rather than on the effectiveness of practitioner counseling to promote changes in physical activity or long-term health outcomes. See the *Physical Activity (CPG 181-S)* policy for more information.

The USPSTF (2018) found that behavior-based weight-loss interventions with or without weight loss medications resulted in more weight loss than usual care conditions. The degree of weight loss observed with the behavior-based weight loss interventions in the current review is slightly smaller but consistent in magnitude with the 2011 review on this topic. As in the previous review, authors noted that weight loss interventions resulted in a decreased risk of developing diabetes, particularly among those with prediabetes, although the prevalence of other intermediate health outcomes was less well reported. Limited evidence exists regarding health outcomes associated with weight loss interventions. Weight loss medications, but not behavior-based interventions, were associated with higher rates of harms compared with control arms. Heterogeneity within each individual intervention arm confounded with differences in the populations, settings, and trial quality, making it difficult to disentangle which variables may be driving larger effects. Long-term weight and health outcomes data, as well as data on important subgroups (e.g., those who are older, nonwhite, or overweight) were lacking and should be a high priority for future study. The USPSTF (2017) recommendations on obesity in children and adolescents stated that evidence suggests that lifestyle-based weight loss interventions with 26 or more contact hours are likely to help reduce excess weight in children and adolescents; average effect sizes were relatively small and highly variable. The clinical significance of the small benefit of medication use is unclear.

*Pharmacotherapy.* If utilized, weight management medications are typically meant to be used along with nutrition, exercise, and behavior management. In randomized controlled trials (RCTs), some medications have resulted in modest weight reduction over placebo. Adverse effects may occur. Patients must consult with their primary treating practitioner for assistance with the appropriate use of medications as part of their weight management program. This would require a health care practitioner for whom prescriptions are within their scope of practice.

*Surgery.* According to National Institutes of Health (NIH), patients with a BMI >40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or with a BMI ≥ 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> who have not responded to other treatment and who have severe

health complications may be considered as candidates for bariatric surgery. The surgical approach is either restrictive or malabsorptive; currently restrictive techniques predominate. In patients with *extreme* obesity, bariatric surgery resulted in large and sustained weight reduction (10-159 kg/22-350 lb over 1-5 years) (McTigue et al., 2003). Adverse effects of bariatric surgery include wound infection, re-operation (for up to 25% of cases), vitamin deficiencies, diarrhea, hemorrhage, and death (postoperative mortality rate = 0.2%).

Concerns over unknown *long-term* consequences of such surgical procedures also persist. The development of persistent and unfavorable surgical consequences (e.g., symptomatic cholelithiasis, band-related complications, and bowel obstruction) requiring additional surgery, and gastrointestinal issues (bleeding, infection) and nutritional deficiencies are additional long-term concerns. These metabolic and nutritional consequences require lifelong monitoring and micronutrient supplementation (Madura and DiBiase, 2012).

Over time, the reduction in surgical complications with the laparoscopic approach and other technical advancements, along with sustained improvements in weight loss and reductions in obesity-related comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, hypertension) have increased the use of bariatric surgery as a treatment option. Obesity management is best done as a multidisciplinary team approach and includes multiple evaluations (e.g., nutritional, exercise, behavioral health) prior to surgical consideration as well as post-surgical long-term care (Madura and DiBiase, 2012).

*Non-surgical Bariatric Procedures.* Another option for treatment of obesity are non-surgical bariatric procedures such as the implanted gastric balloon. The balloons are placed endoscopically on an outpatient basis. The types of procedures are an option for patients who have not been successful with weight loss through nutrition and exercise, but who are not candidates for surgery. Studies have demonstrated significantly increased weight loss with the implants vs. nutritional management and exercise alone with a good safety profile.

## SCREENING RECOMMENDATIONS

### USPSTF Recommendations

*Grade B Recommendation:* The USPSTF recommends that clinicians offer or refer adults with a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or higher (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) to intensive, multicomponent behavioral interventions.

*Grade B Recommendation:* The USPSTF recommends that clinicians screen for obesity in children and adolescents 6 years and older and offer or refer them to comprehensive, intensive behavioral interventions to promote improvements in weight status.

A comprehensive review of the USPSTF rating process can be found in the ASH policy *Preventive Care Guidelines (CPG 140 – S)* or at the USPSTF website

(<https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/about-uspstf/methods-and-processes/grade-definitions> ).

## **PRACTITIONER SCOPE AND TRAINING**

Practitioners should practice only in the areas in which they are competent based on their education, training and experience. Levels of education, experience, and proficiency may vary among individual practitioners. It is ethically and legally incumbent on a practitioner to determine where they have the knowledge and skills necessary to perform such services and whether the services are within their scope of practice.

It is best practice for the practitioner to appropriately render services to a patient only if they are trained, equally skilled, and adequately competent to deliver a service compared to others trained to perform the same procedure. If the service would be most competently delivered by another health care practitioner who has more skill and training, it would be best practice to refer the patient to the more expert practitioner.

Best practice can be defined as a clinical, scientific, or professional technique, method, or process that is typically evidence-based and consensus driven and is recognized by a majority of professionals in a particular field as more effective at delivering a particular outcome than any other practice (Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals, 2020).

Depending on the practitioner's scope of practice, training, and experience, a patient's condition and/or symptoms during examination or the course of treatment may indicate the need for referral to another practitioner or even emergency care. In such cases it is essential for the practitioner to refer the patient for appropriate co-management (e.g., to their primary care physician) or if immediate emergency care is warranted, to contact 911 as appropriate. See the *Managing Medical Emergencies (CPG 159 – S)* clinical practice guideline for information.

Publicly available resources for both practitioners and members can be found through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), and the Obesity Medicine Association (OMA).

## **References**

Alexander SC, Cox ME, Boling Turer CL, et al. Do the five A's work when physicians counsel about weight loss?. *Fam Med*. 2011;43(3):179-184.

American Academy of Pediatrics: Institute for Healthy Childhood Weight (2015). Algorithm for the Assessment and Management of Childhood Obesity in Patients 2 Years and Older. Retrieved on April 5, 2022 from

[https://ihcw.aap.org/Documents/Assessment%20and%20Management%20of%20Childhood%20Obesity%20Algorithm\\_FINAL.pdf](https://ihcw.aap.org/Documents/Assessment%20and%20Management%20of%20Childhood%20Obesity%20Algorithm_FINAL.pdf)

Borrell LN, Samuel L. Body mass index categories and mortality risk in US adults: the effect of overweight and obesity on advancing death. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104(3):512-519. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2013.301597

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics. Obesity and Overweight. Retrieved on April 27, 2022 from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/obesity-overweight.htm>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Adult Obesity Causes & Consequences. Retrieved on April 27 from <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/adult/causes.html#:~:text=Obesity%20is%20serious%20because%20it,and%20some%20types%20of%20cancer>

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics. Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity, and Severe Obesity Among Children and Adolescents Aged 2 – 19 Years: United States, 1963 – 1965 Through 2017 – 2018. Retrieved on April 5, 2022 from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/hestat/obesity-child-17-18/obesity-child.htm>

Cawley J, Biener A, Meyerhoefer C, et al. Direct medical costs of obesity in the United States and the most populous states. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2021;27(3):354-366. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2021.20410

Cuda SE, Censani M. Pediatric Obesity Algorithm: A Practical Approach to Obesity Diagnosis and Management. *Front Pediatr*. 2019;6:431. Published 2019 Jan 23. doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00431

Hales, CM; Carroll, MD; Fryar, CD; and Ogden, CL. Prevalence of Obesity and Severe Obesity Among Adults: United States, 2017 – 2018. *NCHS Data Brief, No. 360* (2020), Retrieved on April 16, 2021 from <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db360-h.pdf>

Joint Commission International. (2020). *Joint Commission International Accreditation Standards for Hospitals* (7th ed.): Joint Commission Resources.

Kim TY, Kim S, Schafer AL. Medical Management of the Postoperative Bariatric Surgery Patient. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, et al., eds. *Endotext*. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc.; August 24, 2020.



- 1 LeBlanc ES, Patnode CD, Webber EM, Redmond N, Rushkin M, O'Connor EA.  
2 Behavioral and Pharmacotherapy Weight Loss Interventions to Prevent Obesity-  
3 Related Morbidity and Mortality in Adults: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic  
4 Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA. 2018;320(11):1172-1191.  
5 doi:10.1001/jama.2018.7777  
6
- 7 Madura JA and DiBiase JK. Quick fix or long-term cure? Pros and cons of bariatric surgery.  
8 F1000 Med Reports 2012, 4:19. Retrieved April 29, 2021 from  
9 <http://f1000.com/prime/reports/m/4/19/>  
10
- 11 Ndetan H, Evans MW Jr, Bae S, Felini M, Rupert R, Singh KP. The health care provider's  
12 role and patient compliance to health promotion advice from the user's perspective:  
13 analysis of the 2006 National Health Interview Survey data. J Manipulative Physiol  
14 Ther. 2010;33(6):413-418. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2010.06.009  
15
- 16 NHLBI Overweight and Obesity: Treatment. Retrieved on April 5, 2022 from  
17 <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/overweight-and-obesity/treatment>.  
18
- 19 Ponce J, Woodman G, Swain J, et al. The REDUCE pivotal trial: a prospective, randomized  
20 controlled pivotal trial of a dual intragastric balloon for the treatment of obesity. Surg  
21 Obes Relat Dis. 2015;11(4):874-881. doi:10.1016/j.soard.2014.12.006  
22
- 23 U.S. National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health. Body Mass Index.  
24 MedlinePlus. Retrieved April 26, 2022 from:  
25 <http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/007196.htm>  
26
- 27 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Weight Loss to Prevent Obesity-Related  
28 Morbidity and Mortality in Adults: Behavioral Interventions. 2018. Retrieved April 26,  
29 2022 from  
30 [https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-](https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-adults-interventions)  
31 [adults-interventions](https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-adults-interventions)  
32
- 33 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Obesity in Children and Adolescents:  
34 Screening. 2017. Retrieved April 26, 2022 from  
35 [https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-](https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-children-and-adolescents-screening)  
36 [children-and-adolescents-screening](https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/obesity-in-children-and-adolescents-screening)  
37
- 38 Whitlock EP, Orleans CT, Pender N, Allan J. Evaluating primary care behavioral  
39 counseling interventions: an evidence-based approach. Am J Prev Med.  
40 2002;22(4):267-284. doi:10.1016/s0749-3797(02)00415-4

- 1 Winter JE, MacInnis RJ, Wattanapenpaiboon N, Nowson CA. BMI and all-cause mortality
- 2 in older adults: a meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2014;99(4):875-890.
- 3 doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.068122